

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE T United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,082	09/18/2003	Katsuhiko Ito	14470.0011US01	8980
52835 7	590 10/25/2005		EXAMINER	
HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C. P.O. BOX 2902-0902			НО, НА	DINH
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3681	

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action					
Before	the	Filing	of an	Appeal	Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/666,082	ITO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ha D. Ho	3681	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ha D. Ho	3681			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE ) and the appropriate exte	D WITHIN TWO		
CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	as set forth in (b) y reduce any		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.		
AMENDMENTS					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			because		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		TE BOIOTTY,			
appeal; and/or	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet, Note 1</u> . (See 37			(576) 664)		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		rill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:	* .				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 6-8 as per Final Rejections ma</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>alleo</u> .				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.		
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet, Note 2.</u></li> </ol>	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:		
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)



Art Unit: 3681

Continuation Sheet

#### Note 1:

Newly added claim 10 raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Note that claim 10 does not include all the limitations of the original claims 1 and 3 such as "said starting clutch is a torque converter" are not recited in claim 10. Broadening of the claim would require further consideration and/or search.

### Note 2:

Regarding Applicant's arguments on page 5, paragraphs 4 and 5, note that, in Ito et al., the axis 02 of the shaft 64 is inside of the angle A (see below) defined by a line segment passing through the axis 01 and the axis 03, and the axial line CO.

FIG. 1

HAHO
PRIMARY EXAMINER
AU 3681 10/20

)

## Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/666,082	ITO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ha D. Ho	3681		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The amendment document filed on <u>10 October 2005</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.

THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.  B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.  C. Other	
<ul> <li>2. Abstract:</li> <li>A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72.</li> <li>B. Other</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>3. Amendments to the drawings:         <ul> <li>A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.</li> <li>C. Other</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>4. Amendments to the claims: <ul> <li>A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present.</li> <li>B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims)</li> <li>C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).</li> <li>D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order.</li> <li>E. Other: The text of added subject matter in claims 1 and 6 is not shown by underlining the added text.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714 and the USPTO website a http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf .	t

#### TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

- 1. Applicant is given **no new time period** if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the **entire corrected amendment** must be resubmitted within the time period set forth in the final Office action.
- 2. Applicant is given **one month**, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the **corrected section** of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action.

<u>Extensions of time</u> are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) <u>only</u> if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action.

Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:

**Abandonment** of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action; or

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.

PRIMARY EXAMINER